Message							
From: Sent: To: Subject:	Nonresponsive based on revised scope 12/16/2019 9:28:11 PM Wagner, Christine [Wagner.Christine@epa.gov] FW: [EXT]RE: CWM Emelle Bid Response for Pile #1 BEFORE DISCOVERY OF UHC'S CWM sampling requirements						
We were message mention With that	read rom message below on disposal analysis. discussing profiling 9W & Mound 5W waste as TSCA using generator knowledge. His below request Full TCLP. This email discussion pertained to pile 1 material. He does that the source of the contamination can't be documented. t statement it may be wise to do the full TCLP on the TSCA waste to rule out RCRA? He can use the existing PCB data that we have. ughts?						
onresponsive based on re	evised scope						
Cc: Wagner Subject: [E) ***CAUTIO attachmer	day, December 10, 2019 10:46 AM sive based on revised scope C, Christine <wagner.christine@epa.gov> XT]RE: CWM Emelle Bid Response for Pile #1 BEFORE DISCOVERY OF UHC'S ON*** This email originates from a source outside the company. Please use caution when opening onts, clicking on links, or following the senders request. On revised scope Christine</wagner.christine@epa.gov>						
a TSCA of therefore dioxin's long as	onmental Restorations treat's the metals (lead) < LDR's, the result would be only regulated waste (PCB's > 50 ppm) Nonresponsive based on revised scope has a TSCA Permit, re that would be acceptable for disposal. If that TSCA waste also contained , that are not "listed" RCRA waste, it would still be acceptable, again as the material did not have a RCRA waste code. UHC's are only regulated, if the world with a RCRA waste, they don't apply for a TSCA waste.						
waste c	the specific source of this contamination can't be documented, listed odes would not be correct. However because of this please run a Full TCLP osal analysis, to rule out the RCRA concerns. Ex. 4 CBI						
Ex. 4 CBI							
<u>[</u>	Ex. 4 CBI						

Please run one (10-point) composite sample per every 275 tons, for Full TCLP, that you want to ship off to Nonresponsive based on revised scope of the Non
To answer you question below about why can treat D008 (TSCA/RCRA) Lead soil < 500 ppm PCB's, but we cant if the PCB's are > 500 ppm. is a "facility nermit issue", its not a RCRA or TSCA issue. The Stabilization Plant a normal nas an Air Permit that requires regulated VOC's to be < 500 ppm. So its our Air permit that's the problem with a TSCA/RCRA Metals Soil and PCB's > 500 ppm, because PCB's are SVOC's and they would go into to total calculation of VOC's. The air permit does not apply if we are just going to TSCA Landfill.
Please let me know if you have any questions.
Regards
Nonresponsive based on revised scope
Nonresponsive based on revised scope
From: Nonresponsive based on revised scope Sent: Friday, December 6, 2019 5:55 AM To: Wagner, Christine < Wagner, Christine@epa.gov > Subject Norresponsive based on revised scope Bid Response for Pile #1 BEFORE DISCOVERY OF UHC'S
Chris, Good morning. Please see attached document from

N	Have	 good nsive	 	 	 	

Confidentiality Warning: This e-mail and any attachments contain information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this e-mail is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, any dissemination, publication or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Although this email has been scanned for malware, the sender does not accept any responsibility for any loss, disruption or damage to your data or computer system that may occur while using data contained in, or transmitted with, this e-mail. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify by return e-mail. Thank you.

Recycling is a good thing. Please recycle any printed emails.